arXiv:1602.08585v1 [math.AT] 27 Feb 2016

Spin structures of flat manifolds of diagonal type

R. Lutowski, N. Petrosyan, J. Popko, & A. Szczepański

Abstract

For each integer d at least two, we construct non-spin closed oriented flat manifolds with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ and with the property that all of their finite proper covers have a spin structure. Moreover, all such covers have trivial Stiefel-Whitney classes.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we shall give a characterisation of spin structures on closed flat manifolds with a diagonal holonomy representation. In general, it is a difficult problem to classifying spin structures on oriented flat manifolds. If one is successful in defining a spin structure, it naturally leads to the definition of spinor fields, a Dirac operator and η-invariants on the manifolds (see e.g. [4, 10]).

Until now, the main direction of research has been on the relation between the existence of a spin structure and properties of the holonomy group and its representation. For example, an oriented flat manifold has a spin structure if and only if its cover corresponding to a 2-Sylow subgroup of the holonomy has a spin structure. Hence, from this point of view, more interesting flat manifolds are the ones with 2-group holonomy. From this class of manifolds, the simplest to describe are the flat manifolds with holonomy group isomorphic to an elementary abelian 2-groups with representation of diagonal type. In fact, one of the first example of an oriented flat manifold without a spin structure is of this type (see [9]). For more information on this, we refer the reader to [12, §6.3].

Let us recall that every closed flat Riemannian manifold M can be realised as a quotient of a Euclidean space by a discrete subgroup of the group of isometries $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ called a *Bieberbach group*. More explicitly, considering the isomorphism $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{O}(n)$, any element of Γ acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a rotation and by a translation in a canonical way.

By the classical Bieberbach theorems (see [2, 3]), $\mathbb{R}^n \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice and the quotient $G = \mathbb{R}^n/(\mathbb{R}^n \cap \Gamma)$ is a finite group called the *holonomy group* of M. This leads to an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1$$

where π is the quotient map. M is said to be of *diagonal (holonomy) type* if the induced representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is diagonal.

It is worth noting that, given an orientable closed flat Riemannian manifold M with the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, p)$, the quotient map $\pi : \Gamma \to G$, can be obtained by constructing a real orthogonal representation Γ defined by translating a vector of the tangent space TM_p at a fixed point $p \in M$ by the parallel vector field along a loop at $p \in M$. Since parallel transports of a given vector along homotopic loops produce the same resulting vector, this give us a well-defined representation of Γ into $\mathrm{O}(n)$. Restricting to its image, we recover the quotient map $\pi : \Gamma \to G$.

It follows that the holonomy group of any finite cover of M is a subgroup of G.

We denote by $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ the universal covering group of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. We also write $\lambda_n : \mathrm{Spin}(n) \to \mathrm{SO}(n)$ for the covering homomorphism. A *spin structure* on a smooth orientable manifold M is an equivariant lift of its orthonormal frame bundle via the covering λ_n. Equivalently, M has a spin structure if and only if the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(M)$ vanishes (see [6, p. 33-34]).

Definition. Given a closed oriented flat manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$. We say that M is *minimal non-spin* if it is non-spin and every finite cover with the holonomy group that is a proper subgroup of G has a spin structure.

Let us point out that every closed oriented flat manifold with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ has a spin structure (see [8, Theorem 3.1(3)], [10, Proposition 4.2]). For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$n(d) = \binom{d+1}{2} + \begin{cases} 2 & d = 0 \bmod 2 \\ 1 & d = 1 \bmod 4 \\ 3 & d = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. *For any integer $d \geq 2$, there exists a closed oriented flat manifold M_d of rank $n(d)$ with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ with the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(M_d) \neq 0$ such that every finite cover with the holonomy group that is a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ has all vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes.*

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary. *For any integer $d \geq 2$, there exists a closed oriented flat manifold of rank $n(d)$ with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ of diagonal type which is minimal non-spin.*

This result is in stark contrast to the case of real Bott manifolds which in part motivated our discussion. Real Bott manifolds are a special type of flat manifolds with diagonal holonomy. By a result of A. Gąsior (see [7, Theorem 1.2]), it follows that a real Bott manifold with holonomy group of even $\mathbb{Z}_2$-rank has a spin structure if and only if all its finite covers with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ have a spin structure. Our examples show that the general case of diagonal flat manifolds is much more complicated.

2 Characterising diagonal flat manifolds

In this section we give a combinatorial description of diagonal flat manifolds. This language will be essential in our analysis of the Steifel-Whitney classes of such manifolds.

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1. \tag{2.1}$$

We shall call Γ *diagonal* or *diagonal type* if the image of the induced representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\rho(g)(z) = \iota^{-1}(\gamma \iota(z) \gamma^{-1}), \ \ \forall g \in G, \ \pi(\gamma) = g, \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

is a subgroup of the group of diagonal matrices $D \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ where

$$D = \{A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \ \mid \ a_{ij} = 0, i \neq j; a_{ii} = \pm 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

It follows that $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Let S^1 be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. As in [11], we consider the automorphisms $g_i : S^1 \to S^1$, given by

$$g_0(z) = z, \ g_1(z) = -z, \ g_2(z) = \bar{z}, \ g_3(z) = -\bar{z}, \ \ \forall z \in S^1. \tag{2.2}$$

Equivalently, with the identification $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, for any $[t] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ we have:

$$g_0([t]) = [t], \ \ g_1([t]) = \left[t + \frac{1}{2}\right], \ \ g_2([t]) = [-t], \ \ g_3([t]) = \left[-t + \frac{1}{2}\right]. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{D} = \langle g_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, 3\rangle$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $g_3 = g_1 g_2$. We define an action $\mathcal{D}^n$ on T^n by

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_n)(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (t_1 z_1, \ldots, t_n z_n), \tag{2.4}$$

for $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathcal{D}^n$ and $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in T^n = \underbrace{S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}_{n}$.

Any subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ defines a $(d \times n)$-matrix with entries in $\mathcal{D}$ which in turn defines a matrix A with entries in the set $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ under the identification $i \leftrightarrow g_i$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Note that we can add distinct rows of A to obtain a row vector with entries in S.

We have the following characterisation of the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ on T^n and the associated orbit space $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ via the matrix A.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ and $A \in S^{d\times n}$. Then,*

(i) the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ on T^n is free if and only if there is 1 in the sum of any distinct collection of rows of A,

(ii) $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is the holonomy group of $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ if and only if there is either 2 or 3 in each row of A.

When the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ on T^n defined by (2.4) is free, we will say that the associated matrix A is *free* and we will call it the *defining matrix* of $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$. In addition, when $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is the holonomy group of $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$, we will say that A is *effective*.

The proof of the above lemma is routine and shall be omitted.

3 Interpreting Stiefel-Whitney classes

We use defining matrices of diagonal flat manifolds to express their characteristic algebras and Stiefel-Whitney classes using the language introduced in the previous section.

To simplify notation, we identify $i \leftrightarrow g_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let us consider the epimorphisms:

$$\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the values of α and β on $\mathcal{D}$ are given in the following table:

	0	1	2	3
α	0	1	1	0
β	0	1	0	1

Table 1: α and β on $\mathcal{D}$

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ we define the epimorphisms:

$$\alpha_j : \mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n \xrightarrow{pr_j} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{F}_2, \quad \beta_j : \mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n \xrightarrow{pr_j} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{F}_2 \tag{3.2}$$

by:

$$\alpha_j(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \alpha(t_j), \quad \beta_j(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \beta(t_j).$$

Using definitions of α, β and the translations given in the equation (2.3), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ acts freely on T^n. Then a holonomy representation $\varphi\colon \mathbb{Z}_2^d \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of the flat manifold $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is given by*

$$\forall_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d}\ \varphi(x) = \mathrm{diag}\left((-1)^{(\alpha_1+\beta_1)(x)}, \ldots, (-1)^{(\alpha_n+\beta_n)(x)}\right).$$

Since $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2) = Hom(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ we can view α_j and β_j as 1-cocycles and define:

$$\theta_j = \alpha_j \cup \beta_j \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\cup$ denotes the cup product. It is well-known that

$$H^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$$

where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence, the elements α_j and β_j correspond to:

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha(pr_j(b_i))x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta(pr_j(b_i))x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d], \tag{3.4}$$

where $\{b_1, \ldots, b_d\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$ (cf. [4, Proposition 1.3]).

Moreover, from definition of the matrix $A \in S^{d\times n}$ we can write (3.4) and (3.3) write as:

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha(A_{i,j})x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta(A_{i,j})x_i, \quad \theta_j^A = \alpha_j \cup \beta_j = \alpha_j\beta_j. \tag{3.5}$$

Next, we will make use of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}$ associated to the group extension of (2.1). Since Γ is of diagonal type, we have:

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes H^q(\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{F}_2).$$

There is an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \qquad 0 \to H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2^d;\mathbb{F}_2) &\xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^1(\Gamma;\mathbb{F}_2) \\
&\xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n;\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^d;\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(\Gamma;\mathbb{F}_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where d_2 is the transgression and π^* is induced by the quotient map $\pi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ (e.g. [5, Corollary 7.2.3]).

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ acts freely and diagonally on T^n. Let $M = T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$, $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ and consider the associated to the group extension of (2.1). Then*

(i) $\theta_l = d_2(\varepsilon_l)$, $\forall 1 \le l \le n$, where $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is the basis of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ dual to the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$.

(ii) The total Stiefel-Whitney class of M is

$$w(M) = \pi^*\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j)\Big) \in H^*(\Gamma;\mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(M;\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5(ii) and Proposition 1.3 of [4] and using (2.3), it follows that

$$d_2(\varepsilon_l) = \sum_{A_{il}=1} x_i^2 + \sum_{i\neq j} x_i x_j,$$

where the second sum is taken for such i, j that

$$(A_{il}, A_{jl}) \in \{(1,2),(2,1),(1,3),(3,1),(3,2),(2,3)\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\theta_l = \alpha_l\beta_l = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha(A_{il})\beta(A_{il})x_i^2 + \sum_{1\le i<j\le d}\big(\alpha(A_{il})\beta(A_{jl}) + \alpha(A_{jl})\beta(A_{il})\big)x_i x_j.$$

Comparing coefficients of the above two polynomials finishes the proof of (i).

For the second part of the proposition, note that the image of the holonomy representation φ of M, defined in Lemma 3.1, is a subgroup of the group D of diagonal matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$. Now, let $\{x_1', \dots, x_n'\}$ be the standard basis of $H^1(D, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (i.e. x_j' checks whether the j-th entry of the diagonal is ± 1). Using Proposition 3.2 of [4] (see also (2.1) of [9]), we have:

$$w(M) = \pi^*\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+\varphi^*(x_j'))\Big).$$

Furthermore, for every $1 \leq l \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\varphi^*(x'_j)(b_l) = x'_j(\varphi(b_l)) = (\alpha_j + \beta_j)(b_l)$$

and the result follows. □

We observe that by part (i) of Proposition 3.2 the image of the differential d_2 is the ideal generated by θ_j-s:

$$\langle Im(d_2) \rangle = \langle \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, ..., x_d].$$

Given $A \in S^{d\times n}$, using (3.5), we will set $I_A = \langle \theta_1^A, \ldots, \theta_n^A \rangle$ and call it the *characteristic ideal* of A. The quotient $\mathcal{C}_A = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, ..., x_d]/I_A$ will be the *characteristic algebra* of A. Whenever there is no confusion, we will suppress the subscripts.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ acts freely and diagonally on T^n. There is a canonical homomorphism of graded algebras $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to H^*(T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $\phi([w]) = w(T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$ where $[w]$ is the class of*

$$w = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + \alpha_j + \beta_j) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, ..., x_d]. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, ϕ is a monomorphism in degree less that or equal to two.

Proof. This follows directly from the exact sequence (3.6), with ϕ induced by the algebra homomorphism $\pi^* : H^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{F}_2)$. □

Definition 3.4. Given a matrix $A \in S^{d\times n}$, we define the *Stiefel-Whitney class* of A, denoted $w(A)$, to be the class $[w] \in \mathcal{C}_A$ defined by (3.7).

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $A \in S^{d\times n}$ is free and $T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ is the corresponding flat manifold. Then $\phi(w(A)) = w(T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d)$.*

Next, we derive several properties of the Stiefel-Whitney classes and of characteristic ideals which will be key to our discussion later on.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $A \in S^{d\times m}$, $B \in S^{d\times n}$ and $[A, B] \in S^{d\times(m+n)}$. Then,*

(i) $w([A, B]) = w(A)w(B)$;

(ii) $I_{[A,B]} = I_A + I_B$;

(iii) if j-column of A has only elements $\{0, 2\}$ or $\{0, 3\}$, then $\theta_j^A = 0$.

Proof. By Definition 3.4, we have:

$$w([A,B]) = \prod_{j=1}^{m}(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j)\prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n}(1+\alpha_j+\beta_j) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1,x_2,...,x_d]$$

where

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha(A_{i,j})x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\beta(A_{i,j})x_i, \quad \forall\ 1 \le j \le m$$

and

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha(B_{i,j})x_i, \quad \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\beta(B_{i,j})x_i, \quad \forall\ m+1 \le j \le m+n.$$

Therefore, $w([A,B]) = w(A)w(B)$.

To prove (ii), recall that $I_{[A,B]} = \langle \theta_1^{[A,B]}, \ldots, \theta_n^{[A,B]} \rangle$ with $\theta_j^{[A,B]} = \alpha_j\beta_j$. Note that, $\theta_j^{[A,B]} = \theta_j^A$ when $1 \le j \le m$ and $\theta_j^{[A,B]} = \theta_{j-m}^B$ when $m+1 \le j \le m+n$. Hence. $I_{[A,B]} = I_A + I_B$.

Part (iii) follows from that fact that $\theta_j^A = \alpha_j\beta_j$ and that $\alpha_j = 0$ on $\{0,2\}$ and $\beta_j = 0$ on $\{0,3\}$.

□

4 Proof of main theorem

To define minimal non-spin manifolds we will make use of the following matrices:

1. $A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{(d-1)} \\ r \end{bmatrix} \in S^{d\times(d-1)}$, where $I_{(d-1)}$ is the identity matrix and $r = (1,\ldots,1)$.
2. $A_1 = \left[c_1,\ldots,c_{d(d-1)/2}\right] \in S^{d\times d(d-1)/2}$ with columns $c_k = 2e_i + 3e_j$ for all $i<j$ ordered in lexicographical order. Here, e_i denotes the column vector with 1 in the i-th coordinate and 0 everywhere else.
3. Let $A = [A_0, A_1]$, $B = 2(e_1+e_2+...+e_d) \in S^{d\times 1}$, and $C = 2e_1 \in S^{d\times 1}$.
4. Let E be the free matrix

$$E = \begin{cases} [A,B,C,C] & d = 0 \bmod 2 \\ [A,B,B] & d = 1 \bmod 4 \\ A & d = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

5. Finally, let $F \in S^{d\times n(d)}$ be the free and effective matrix defined by

$$F = \begin{cases} E & d \neq 3 \bmod 4 \\ [E, C, C, C, C] & d = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$n(d) = \binom{d+1}{2} + \begin{cases} 2 & d = 0 \bmod 2 \\ 1 & d = 1 \bmod 4 \\ 3 & d = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Let σ_i be the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial on variable $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$. Consider the ideal $J \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ defined by:

$$\boxed{J = \{x_i^2 + x_j^2 \mid i \neq j\} + \{x_i x_j \mid x_i \neq x_j\}}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The matrix A is free, $I_A = J$ and*

$$w(A) = \left[(1+\sigma_1)^{d-1}\right] \in \mathcal{C}_A = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]/J.$$

Proof. The matrix A is clearly free by definition. To see that $J = I_A$, note that, by Lemma 3.6, we have $I_A = I_{A_0} + I_{A_1}$. Recall that $I_{A_0} = \langle \theta_1^{A_0}, \ldots, \theta_{d-1}^{A_0} \rangle$ with $\theta_l^{A_0} = \alpha_l \beta_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq d-1$. Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_l^{A_0} &= \alpha_l \beta_l \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha(A_{0il})\beta(A_{0il}) x_i^2 + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq d} \big(\alpha(A_{0il})\beta(A_{0jl}) + \alpha(A_{0jl})\beta(A_{0il})\big) x_i x_j \\ &= x_l^2 + x_d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{A_1} = \langle \theta_1^{A_1}, \ldots, \theta_{d(d-1)/2}^{A_1} \rangle$ and $\theta_l^{A_1} = x_i x_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. It is easy to see now that $J = I_{A_0} + I_{A_1}$.

To prove the last claim, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} w(A) =& w(A_0)w(A_1) \\ =& w(A_1) \\ =& \Big[\prod_{i<j}(1 + x_i + x_j)\Big] \\ =& \Big[1 + (d-1)\sigma_1 + d(d-2)\sigma_2 + \binom{d-1}{2}\sigma_1^2\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_2 \in J$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w(A) =& \big[1 + (d-1)\sigma_1 + \binom{d-1}{2}\sigma_1^2\big] \\ =& \big[(1+\sigma_1)^{d-1}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\varphi : P_2 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be the linear extension of the map given by $\varphi(x_i^2) = 1$ and $\varphi(x_i x_j) = 0$, for $i \neq j$, where P_2 denotes the space of homogenous polynomials of degree two. We make the following observations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $J_2 = \{x \in J \mid x$ is an element of degree $2\}$. Then $J_2 = Ker(\varphi)$.*

Proof. The spaces J_2 and $Ker(\varphi)$ have the same basis. □

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

(i) $I_B = 0$ and $w(B) = [1 + \sigma_1]$.

(ii) $I_C = 0$ and $w(C) = [1 + x_1]$.

(iii) The matrix E is free and and $I_E = J$.

Proof. The first two claims follow directly from definitions. For the proof of the last claim, note that, by parts (i) and (ii), we have that $I_E = I_A$. This finishes the claim, since $I_A = J$. □

Proposition 4.4. *The flat manifold M defined by the matrix E has $w(M) = [1 + x_1^2] \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, M is oriented, it does not have a spin structure and $w_i(M) = 0$ for all $i > 2$.*

Proof. First, let us observe that $\dim \mathcal{C}_2^E = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_i^E = 0$ for $i > 2$. In fact, the first formula can be seen from the definition $\mathcal{C}_2 = P_2/J_2$. The second formula follows from noting that any homogenous polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ of degree greater than two is in the ideal J.

Let us now calculate the Stiefel-Whitney class $w(M) = w(E)$ of M. We shall consider the following cases.

Case 1 (d is even). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} w(E) =& w(A)w(B)w(C) \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1)^{d-1}(1+\sigma_1)(1+x_1)^2] \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1)^d(1+x_1^2)] \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1^2)^{d/2}(1+x_1^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since d is even, σ_1^2 is a sum of even number of squares. Hence, $\sigma_1^2 \in J$ and $w(E) = [1 + x_1^2]$. Therefore, $w_i(M) = 0$ for $i \neq 2$ and $w_2(M) = [x_1^2]$. But $x_1^2 \notin J$ because $\varphi(x_1^2) \neq 0$.

Case 2 ($d = 1 \bmod 4$). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} w(E) =& w(A)w(B)^2 \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1)^{d+1}] \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1)^2] \\ =& [1+\sigma_1^2]. \end{aligned}$$

As above, M is orientable and has no spin structure since $\varphi(\sigma_1^2) = d = 1$.

Case 3 ($d = 3 \bmod 4$). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} w(E) =& [(1+\sigma_1)^{d-1}] \\ =& [(1+\sigma_1)^2] \\ =& [1+\sigma_1^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as above, M is orientable and has no spin structure. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let $M = T^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ be the flat manifold defined by the matrix E. Let M' be a finite cover of M, $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$, $\Gamma' = \pi_1(M')$ and $i : \Gamma' \to \Gamma$ be the inclusion corresponding to the covering. Suppose $\Gamma'/(\pi_1(T^n) \cap \Gamma') \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ with $k < d$. Then M' has trivial Stiefel-Whitney classes.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]/J$ be the characteristic algebra of M (equivalently, of E) and $\mathcal{C}'$ be the characteristic algebra of M' with characteristic ideal $I_{M'}$. We claim that $\mathcal{C}'_l = 0$ for $l \geq 2$.

To see this, we note that there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(T^n) \cap \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \mathbb{Z}_2^k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ \pi_1(T^n) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}_2^d \end{array}$$

Combining this with the equation (3.6), yields the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{d_2} & H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^d; \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j^*} \\ H^1(\Gamma'; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{d_2'} & H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^k; \mathbb{F}_2) \end{array}$$

This shows that

$$j^*(J) = j^*(\langle Im(d_2)\rangle) \subseteq \langle Im(d_2')\rangle = I_{M'} \subseteq H^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^k; \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Therefore, we get an induced epimorphism of algebras $j^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$.

Recall that by Proposition 4.4, $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{0, [x_1^2]\}$. For any $y \in \mathcal{C}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ there is $z \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $yz = [x_1^2]$. Suppose otherwise and let $y = [a]$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_d]_1$. If $y\mathcal{C}_1 = \{0\}$, then for any $1 \leq k \leq d$, $ax_k \in J_2 = Ker(\varphi)$. This is impossible since φ corresponds to a non-degenerated symmetric two linear map.

Since $\dim \mathcal{C}_1 > \dim \mathcal{C}_1'$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $j^*(y) = 0$. We can find $z \in \mathcal{C}_1$ so that $yz = [x_1^2] \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Because j^* is an epimorphism and $\mathcal{C}_2$ is one-dimensional, $j^*(yz)$ generates $\mathcal{C}_2'$. But $j^*(yz) = j^*(y)j^*(z) = 0$ and therefore, $\mathcal{C}_2' = 0$.

Finally, since $\mathcal{C}_l = 0$ for $l > 2$ and i^* is surjection, we obtain the triviality of $\mathcal{C}_l'$ for $l > 2$. This proves our claim and together with Proposition 4.4 finishes the proof. □

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose M is the flat manifold defined by the matrix F. Then, M is orientable with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$, $w_2(M) \neq 0$ and every finite cover with the holonomy group that is a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ has all vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes.*

Proof. Since the matrix F is effective, by Lemma 3.3, we know that the holonomy group is $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$. By Lemmas 3.6 and 4.3, it follows that $I_F = J$, $\mathcal{C}_F = \mathcal{C}_E$, and $w(F) = w(E) = [1 + x_1^2]$. Hence, M is orientable, but non-spin. The last claim follows from applying the proof of Proposition 4.5 to the manifold M defined by the matrix F in place of E. □

References

[1] L. Auslander, R. H. Szczarba, *Characteristic Classes of Compact Solvmanifolds*, Ann. Math. 76 (1962), 1 - 8.

[2] L. Bieberbach, *Über die Bewegungsgruppen der Euklidischen Raume I*, Math. Ann. (1911), 70, 297-336.

[3] L. Bieberbach, *Über die Bewegungsgruppen der Euklidischen Raume II*, Math. Ann. (1912), 72, 400-412.

[4] S. Console, R. Miatello, J. P. Rossetti, $\mathbb{Z}_2$-*cohomology and spectral properties of flat manifolds of diagonal type*, J. Geom. and Physics, 60 (2010) 760-781.

[5] L. Evens, *Cohomology of Groups.* Oxford University Press, (1992).

[6] R. C. Kirby, *The topology of 4-manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1374, Springer-Verlag (1989).

[7] A. Gąsior, *Spin structures on real Bott manifolds*, preprint 2013, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.06884v1.pdf`

[8] G. Hiss, A. Szczepański, *Spin structures on flat manifolds with cyclic holonomy*, Comm. Algebra **36** (1) (2008), 11-22.

[9] R. Lee, R. H. Szczarba, *On the integral Pontrjagin classes of a Riemannian flat manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata 3 (1974), 1 - 9.

[10] R. J. Miatello, R. A. Podestá, *Spin structures and spectra of* $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$*-manifolds*, Math. Zeit. 247, (2004), 319 - 335.

[11] J. Popko, A. Szczepański, *Cohomological rigidity of oriented Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds*, preprint 2013, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.2807.pdf`

[12] A. Szczepański, *Geometry of the crystallographic groups*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Vol. 4, World Scientific, Shanghai (2012).

R. Lutowski, J. Popko, & A. Szczepański
Institute of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, 80-952, Poland
E-mail-address: rafal.lutowski@mat.ug.edu.pl, jpopko@mat.ug.edu.pl, aszczepa@mat.ug.edu.pl

N. Petrosyan
Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
E-mail-address: n.petrosyan@soton.ac.uk